HYPERBOREUS

STUDIA CLASSICA

ναυσὶ δ' οὔτε πεζὸς ἰών κεν εὕροις ἐς Ύπερβορέων ἀγῶνα θαυμαστὰν ὁδόν

(Pind. Pyth. 10. 29-30)

EDITORES

NINA ALMAZOVA SOFIA EGOROVA DENIS KEYER ALEXANDER VERLINSKY

PETROPOLI

Vol. 20

2014

BIBLIOTHECA CLASSICA PETROPOLITANA VERLAG C.H.BECK MÜNCHEN

ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ ΑΡΕΤΑΣ

Donum natalicium BERNARDO SEIDENSTICKER ab amicis oblatum

CONSPECTUS

Carmen natalicium Vorwort	
WOLFGANG RÖSLER Die Hikesie des Phemios und die Bedeutung von αὐτοδίδακτος in der <i>Odyssee</i> (22, 344–353)	. 11
THERESE FUHRER Teichoskopie: Der (weibliche) Blick auf den Krieg	. 23
GERSON SCHADE Archilochus, 196a IEG ²	. 42
NINA ALMAZOVA When Was the Pythian Nome Performed?	. 56
MICHAEL GAGARIN Aeschylus' Prometheus: Regress, Progress, and the Nature of Woman	. 92
OLIVER TAPLIN A Couple of Conjectures that Point to Hands in Sophocles	101
VICTOR BERS "Dame Disease?": A Note on the Gender of Philoctetes' Wound	105
JENS HOLZHAUSEN "Fürchten oder Lieben?" Zu Sophokles, <i>Oidipus Tyrannos</i> , Vers 11	109
PATRICIA E. EASTERLING Σεμνός and its Cognates in the Sophoclean Scholia	120
ISTVÁN BODNÁR A Testimony of Oenopides in Pliny	126
KLAUS HALLOF De epigrammate Coo aetatis classicae	137
RALF KRUMEICH Silen und Theater. Zu Ikonographie und Funktion des betagten Halbtieres in der attischen Vasenmalerei des 5. Jhs. v. Chr.	139
ALEXANDER VERLINSKY Lysias' Chronology and the Dramatic Date of Plato's Republic	158
NORBERT BLÖSSNER Platons Demokratiekapitel (Pl. <i>Rep.</i> 555 b 4 – 562 a 3) und das sokratische Argument	199

Статьи сопровождаются резюме на русском и английском языке Summary in Russian and English

BERND MANUWALD	
Bürger als politische Akteure. Überlegungen zur allgemeinen Politikkompetenz bei Platon und Aristoteles	225
ECKART E. SCHÜTRUMPF Aristotle on the Philosophical Nature of Poetry. The Object of <i>Mimesis</i> According to <i>Poet.</i> 9	244
WIDU-WOLFGANG EHLERS Libertino patre nati	274
DENIS KEYER Venimus ad summum Fortunae: Prosperity and Flourishing of Arts in Horace (Epist. 2. 1. 32–33)	279
ALEXANDER GAVRILOV Who Wrote the <i>Encheiridion</i> of Epictetus?	295
FRITZ FELGENTREU Κτῆμα ἐς ἀεί. Überlegungen zu Eigentum und Historiographie in den Plinius-Briefen	317
CARLO M. LUCARINI Emendamenti a Svetonio	331
PETER HABERMEHL Origenes' Welten Frühchristliche Kosmologie im Spannungsfeld zwischen Platonismus und Heilsgeschichte	350
ELENA ERMOLAEVA A School Ancient Greek Epic Parody from Kellis	370
REINHART MEYER-KALKUS Deklamation im antiken Theater und im 18. Jahrhundert. Die Re-Interpretation von Melopoie und Rhythmopoie durch Abbé Dubos und Gotthold Ephraim Lessing	383
STEFAN REBENICH Eduard Schwartz und die Altertumswissenschaften seiner Zeit	406
DANIEL P. TOMPKINS What Happened in Stockholm? Moses Finley, the Mainz Akademie, and East Bloc Historians	436
Bernd Seidensticker Schriftenverzeichnis	453
Hyperborei vol. XI–XX conspectus	462
Hyperborei vol. XI–XX auctores alphabetico ordine dispositi	472
Key Words	481
Правила для авторов Guidelines for contributors	484 486

ARCHILOCHUS, 196A IEG2

The so-called Cologne Epode is, nowadays, the longest and most important fragment of all those which have been attributed to Archilochus, although it cannot be ascertained that the text is genuine. The more than fifty new verses, however, are followed in the papyrus by other Archilochean verses in part already known to us (188 IEG^2). This fact does not necessarily mean that the preceding lines are by Archilochus too, but what seems to indicate his authorship is the fact that a Neobule appears in the new epode (24), a female character always associated with Archilochus (172–181 & 206–209 IEG^2). She may have been mentioned in 54 IEG^2 and is present in other fragments, such as 118 IEG^2 , where the poetic 'I' wants to touch her, and if 119 IEG^2 is to be combined with it, an erotic context is evident as it is also apparent in the Cologne Epode.

The epode is a narrative in the form of a dialogue between a man – the narrator, who uses the first person singular – and a girl, some of whose words are reported, in direct speech, at the beginning of the fragment (1–8). The couple in the epode seems to have just met, and the meeting probably is the starting point of the narrative. The girl who speaks at the beginning of the fragment might be Neobule's younger sister, and from the very first word we can infer that the man of the poem had begged her to have sexual intercourse with him. She declines his proposal of a tryst on the spot and suggests that another woman could take her place. In line 9, the narrator ends his report of her speech and introduces his reply to her. After a metaphorical description of what he would like to do, the male character dismisses the other girl, to whom he gives the name Neobule, as passée (26) and (sex-) mad (30), a kind of character assassination so to speak. If the text is by Archilochus, this passage would fit to Pindar's characterization of him as 'the blamer fed on dire words of hatred' (P. 2. 55 f. ψογερὸν 'Αρχίλοχον βαρυλόγοις ἔχθεσιν / πιαινόμενον); invective, however, is a dominant feature in iambic poetry in general. In the following, the male

¹ Rotstein 2009, 320–344.

speaker manages to sooth the girl's fears as far as her virginity is concerned, but nevertheless presses on in haste (as he remarks on himself at the end of his speech in 39–41). Finally, he contents himself with a temporary solution, releasing his 'strength' or 'force' (52 ἀφῆκα μένος as he puts it) without penetrating her. There is no other poem like this: a skilfully unmannered, bogus first-person account, naively pretending to relate such a cunningly designed affair. Only much later, a first-person narrative is used for a similar experience by Propertius 2. 15 and Ovid Am. 1. 5, though both narrate in a very different mood.

The framing and the meaning of the whole affair (and its literary embedding) depend on whether the man has proposed marriage to the girl or has only shown a desire to sleep with her. What did he actually intend? The attempts at supplementing the end of line 5 differ widely from each other, both γάμου 'marriage' as well as σέθεν 'you' or λέχεος '(your) bed' or σ' ἔχειν 'to get you' would make sense, meaning either that the other woman (the girl refers to) desires a proposed marriage or that she wants to sleep with the narrator. Although quite impelled by his sexual desire – of which both speak, using the same word (the girl in 3 ἐπείγεαι, the narrator in 40 ἐπειγόμενος) – the narrator is clearly not interested in the other woman, but in the younger one he is speaking to and whose words he reports. Certainly, this contrast between an inexperienced young woman and one who suited herself sexually (and who is 'shop-soiled' in a way) caught an audience wondering whether Archilochus was going to describe the deflowering of an honest girl. In another Archilochean poem the narrator reports a conversation with a woman too (23 IEG²) and again the narrator reassures the interlocutor that he will take thought for something,² but the vague words about deliberating later might well be a smokescreen to hide a swindle. In the Cologne Epode, however, the narrator kept his promise, against all expectations. If at all this text was intended to be performed at a symposium, of which we know nothing, a performance of it might well be called 'a tour de force of baiting expectations' and the text can be read as an example of Archilochus' disconnection from a sexual partner, probably in the most spectacular way that symposium poetry offers.

Archilochus, who never shied away from obscenities⁴ and whose language describing sex is far from delicate (42 IEG^2), was surely aware of what a power (sexual) desire can be (191, 193, 196 IEG^2); his fragments clearly demonstrate an 'ambiguous oscillation between violence, even

² Handley 2007, 97; Bowie 2008, 140.

³ Stehle 1997, 245.

⁴ Burnett 1983, 77–97.

obscenity, and tenderness'. In the fifth century Socrates' pupil Critias claimed that Archilochus had a bad reputation in Greece exactly because of his own statements (88 B 44 DK). Enough of Archilochus' poetry survives to confirm that the source of much of Critias' information is, as Critias says, Archilochus' own poetry. Referring to Archilochus' writings, Critias called him an 'adulterer', and the Cologne Epode provides the first extensive example of the type of poetry on which Critias could base his allegation.⁶ No doubt Critias thought that he could quote Archilochus himself to prove details of his biography. 7 Critias' statement, however, is an erroneous deduction based on a faulty understanding of Archilochus' poetry because we must reckon with the possibility that Critias' and other similar statements are founded on poems which had nothing to do with Archilochus himself. In general, deducing information about poets from their own works assumes what is to be proved, in order to prove it, a process which proves nothing at all. Even if it was Pindar's P. 2. 55 f., which started the tradition Critias carried on, it is a rather primitive notion that a man is what he writes or even recites.8

The metre consists of an iambic trimeter, followed by a hemiepes and a concluding iambic dimeter. Ancient metricians who observed the independence of these lines called them 'disconnected', ἀσυνάρτητα.9 Accordingly, the Cologne Epode, our earliest testimony for this metrical structure, is printed in three-line stanzas in SLG (S 478 a) and IEG². But it is also possible to conceive the metrical scheme as consisting of two-line stanzas. The stanzas of Horace's *Epode* 11, e.g., a text which appears to some as being influenced by the Cologne Epode, ¹⁰ are printed in two lines only. Curiously enough, another fragment of Archilochus, which shows the same sequence of hemiepes plus iambic dimeter, is not only generally printed as a single line (196 άλλὰ μ' ὁ λυσιμελής, ὧταιρε, δάμναται πόθος), but evokes also the same theme as Horace's epode. In Archilochus, a friend is addressed by a speaker who refers to his being overwhelmed by 'limb-loosening desire', and in Horace the poetic persona feels himself heavily stricken by love too, presenting himself again to a friend as amore percussum gravi (Ep. 11. 2). It is therefore tempting to combine the incipit of Horace's epode with Archilochus fr. 196. Whether and how both texts relate to the Cologne Epode, however, we can only speculate. Anyway,

⁵ Strauss-Clay 2008, 115.

⁶ Lefkowitz ²2012, 31 f.

⁷ Page 1964, 215.

⁸ Lefkowitz 1991, 117, contra Slings 1990, 19.

⁹ West 1982, 43, Slings 1987, 51–59.

¹⁰ Pro Mankin 1995, 193; contra Watson 2003, 361 f.

the Cologne Epode is similarly ambivalent and its hero as well as driven and urged on by Eros as also succumbing to him, giving way to a superior force. Two slightly younger lyrical poets know how strong the forces of Eros can be; they call him an 'irresistible creature' (Sappho 130 Voigt), striking 'like a smith with a great hammer' (Anacreon 413 *PMG*).¹¹

1-8

Responding to the male narrator, the young girl proposes that if he cannot abstain from sex he should turn to another woman, who, in turn, is presented as greatly longing for a person or an object we can only guess, because the papyrus does not preserve any text. Two syllables, a brevis and an anceps, are lacking.

3

The line 'but if you are in a hurry and desire impels you', spoken by a woman addressing a man, reminds of Hera's seducing words to Zeus 'if this is your wish and your heart's desire' (*Il*. 14. 337). Hera, however, continues by hinting at her bedroom, while the girl addressed by Archilochus tries to turn his interests towards her older sister. The contrast between the similar opening and the surprising turn in Archilochus might suit the 'iambic' atmosphere of raillery, teasing, and irreverence. The Homeric Deception of Zeus (*Il*. 14. 153–353), in turn, shares various elements with other Homeric seduction-episodes, and Archilochus' seduction-epode may reflect the same pattern. 12

5 η νῦν μέγ' ἱμείρε[ι

If there is a 'now', there must have been a 'then'. The woman the younger girl speaks of as 'who now greatly longs for' is already familiar to the male character; they seemed even to have had a common history. Perhaps surprisingly, she might have changed her mind, a fact that suits her name Neobule, i.e. 'new decision'. But 'now', as the male figure affirms to the younger girl later in the poem, he is no longer interested in Neobule, and another man may have her (24 f.) τὸ δὴ νῦν γνῶθι. Νεοβούλη[ν / ἄλλος ἀνὴρ ἐχέτω.

¹¹ Bibliography: Degani, Burzacchini 1977 (a bibliographically updated second edition is published Bologna 2005), 3–22; Miralles, Pòrtulas 1983, 127–157; Slings 1987; Bartol 1999, 133–147.

¹² Janko 1992, 170 f.

6 καλή τέρεινα παρθένος

The image of a beautiful, tender, young and unmarried woman was to be taken up by Hipponax. His line (119 IEG^2) εἴ μοι γένοιτο παρθένος καλή τε καὶ τέρεινα also reflects Archilochus' wish to touch Neobule's hand (118 IEG^2) εἰ γὰρ ὡς ἐμοὶ γένοιτο χειρὶ Νεοβούλης θιγεῖν and looks as if Hipponax had conflated both. Emphatic καλ- at line-beginning followed by a second adjective without connecting particle already occurs in Homer (e.g. II. 14. 177, 17. 55, Od. 1. 131, h. Ven. 89). Describing the toilet of a maiden, Hesiod used τέρεινα of her skin (Op. 521-523) οὕ πω ἔργ' εἰδυῖα πολυχρύσου 'Αφροδίτης· / εὖ τε λοεσσαμένη τέρενα χρόα καὶ λίπ' ἐλαίφ / χρισαμένη. She must not spoil her good looks by housework, until a housband is found for her.

10 - 41

The male narrator speaks. Initially addressing the girl as daughter of a respectable mother, now dead, he immediately and effortlessly arrives at the core of his argument – Aphrodite's pleasures. His discourse, however, takes an innovative twist when he announces that aside from 'the divine thing', i.e. sexual intercourse, another option would suffice (15 τῶν τις ἀρκέσε[ι). He not only promises to deliberate on these alternative sex-techniques in a quiet mood but also 'to do as you bid me' (in the Homerizing hemiepes 19 π]είσομαι ὅς με κέλεαι). Whether the following lines (e.g. 23 f. ποη[φόρους / κ]ήπους) indicate a meeting point, where both would be undisturbed, or whether they speak metaphorically of the young girl's genitals is not clear. The narrative appears deliberately opaque and equivocal. The girl's elder sister Neobule, however, is twice openly dismissed as overblown and promiscuous; the younger girl can 'now' be sure of it (24 τὸ δὴ νῦν γνῶθι). If he really chose Neobule, he would be laughed at, continues the speaker, whereas the younger girl is characterized by him as neither untrustworthy nor two-faced (36 οὖτ' ἄπιστος οὕτε διπλόη), a statement that reflects his opening words on her mother's social standing. Urged on by his desire, he concludes his speech by alluding to a slightly obscene expression.

10 f. Άμφιμεδοῦς θύγατερ / ἐσθλῆς

It is not clear why Archilochus addresses the girl with her mother's name. The female form of the name is attested only here and we may suspect another speaking name (as Neobule itself), coined for the occasion, meaning 'she who provides for' or 'is mindful of'. In fact, Amphimedo appears to be the only member of a dysfunctional clan that could have given good advice, but since her death no sensitive person is left to take care of the young girl.¹³

13 f. τ]έρψιές εἰσι θεῆς / πολλαὶ νέοισιν ἀνδ[ράσιν

'Many are the delights the goddess offers to young men' clearly hints at Aphrodite's gifts, i.e. enjoyment, delight, pleasure. Concluding his characterization of Aphrodite, Hesiod mentioned 'sweet delight' as part of what Aphrodite has received as her lot (Th. 205 f.) παρθενίους τ' ὀάρους μειδήματά τ' ἐξαπάτας τε / τέρψιν τε γλυκερὴν φιλότητά τε μειλιχίην τε 'maidenly whispers and smiles and deceits and sweet delight and fondness and gentleness'. Another figure of 7^{th} century poetry, the elegiac poet Mimnermus began a poem by rhetorically asking 'what life is there, what pleasure without golden Aphrodite?' (1. 1 IEG^2) τίς δὲ βίος, τί δὲ τερπνὸν ἄτερ χρυσέης 'Αφροδίτης;

16 - 18

The male speaker promises to deliberate on these matters later, in a quieter atmosphere when things have calmed down. εὖτ' αν (like ὅταν) with subjunctive, combined with a future tense in the main clause, refers to a single event in future time since Il. 1. 241–243 (LSJ s.v. εὖτε I 2a); the same construction is to be found at the beginning of Archilochus 3 IEG^2 . But what could it be that darkens in future time? The verb may describe a (newly-) bearded chin (as in Hes. Sc. 167) and thus refer to the speaker (West), or if the lacuna contains a reference to some part of the girl's body, it may mean 'ripen' (Gerber¹⁴), but a deliberation at night is not excluded either (Burzacchini). At the beginning of Sophocles' *Electra*, both darkness and the necessity of deliberating on something important are combined (but without erotic context) when Orestes and Pylades are urged by the prologue-speaker to end their nightly conversation and come to a decision because the 'black night of stars has departed' (15–19): νῦν οὖν ... / ... τί χρὴ δρᾶν ... βουλευτέον / ὡς ἡμὶν ἤδη ... / μέλαινά τ' ἄστρων ἐκλέλοιπεν εὐφρόνη.

¹³ Zanetto 2001, 73.

¹⁴ Gerber 1999 ad loc.

19 π]είσομαι ὥς με κέλεαι

The male character is willing to act according to the girl's wishes; at least, at this stage of the narrative he claims to do so. His phrase echoes not only a familiar Homeric line-ending as in πᾶσαν άληθείην μυθήσομαι, ώς με κελεύεις, ironically spoken by the crafty liar Odysseus (Od. 11. 507), but also reflects a scene known from Homer. Adressing Patroclus' spirit, Achilles promises to accomplish all things he would charge him with (Il. 23. 95 f.): αὐτὰρ ἐγώ τοι / πάντα μάλ' ἐκτελέω καὶ πείσομαι, ώς σὺ (varia lectio με) κελεύεις. Alluding to this scene, the speaker in Archilochus presents himself as trustworthy as Achilles, who spoke these words to his dear and beloved friend. Given the closeness and sincerity prevailing between Achilles and Patroclus, nobody doubts that Achilles would fulfil what he promised to his dead friend's shadow. But listening to these Homerizing passage in Archilochus, some might well have wondered whether Archilochus' protagonist would be able to control his emotions, even if he wished and promised to restrain himself, or whether he was only pretending, as Odysseus. Taking up Homeric wording, Archilochus apparently added a second layer to the speech of his literary character, a fact that could not have escaped at least a part of his public in antiquity.

But there is something else that reminds of Homer: when Odysseus meets Nausicaa his politeness and humility displayed do not fit the characterization the poet has given of him before his meeting Nausicaa, when he is compared to a lion (*Od.* 6. 130–138). One might have expected him to jump on the girl like the lion he had been compared to by the poet, as one might expect the same in Archilochus; instead both protagonists of the narrative respectively react with humility, shrewdness and courtesy in the presence of the girl. Given that foil, it is possible that Archilochus is not only making an ironic allusion to a famous scene from the *Iliad*, but also to one from the *Odyssey*. 15

21-24 θρ]ιγκοῦ δ' ἔνερθε καὶ πυλέων ὑποφ[/ ... σχήσω γὰρ ἐς ποη[φόρους / κ]ήπους

Given the context of the situation, the words 'under the coping and the gates ... I shall steer towards the grassy gardens' can easily be read as metaphors for 'pubic bone', 'vagina' and 'pubic hair' (Gerber). No doubt,

¹⁵ Miralles, Pòrtulas 1983, 138; on a similar structural and thematic parallelism: Seidensticker 1978.

a sexual innuendo is apparent, but later on, the girl is taken and literally laid down in blooming flowers (42–44 παρθένον δ' ἐν ἄνθε[σιν / τηλ]εθάεσσι λαβὼν / ἔκλινα). A factual reading of the lines seems possible, too. But the short Archilochean ekphrasis, relenting the speedy narrative (with its cornice and doors), is quite banal, and reminds of a Homeric stereotype (e.g. Od. 7. 87 f. & 17. 267 f.). A few lines earlier, referring to Neobule's past sex appeal, the speaker used a pastoral metaphor when he said that 'her girlhood's flower has lost its bloom (literally 'flew off') as has the charm which formerly was on it' (27 f. ἄν]θος δ' ἀπερρύηκε παρθενήιον / κ]αὶ χάρις ἣ πρὶν ἐπῆν), and the whole expression in 21–24 with its overtones and connotations might well be (again) ambivalent, its double sense used to convey an indelicate meaning.

24 f

A more polite expression quite similar to this line's 'as for Neobule, let some other man have her' might be hidden in 54. 3 *IEG*²: if there may be references to be recognized to the story of the two sisters, ¹⁶ then the text might be supplemented to mean 'I've already had the older sister'. ¹⁷

26 πέπειρα

A rare feminine of πέπων, for the first time attested here, meaning rather 'ripe' than 'old'. The word reappears in an iambus of Anacreon. A feminine speaker describes herself as becoming a 'wrinkled' (or 'itchy') old thing and over-ripe 'thanks to your lust' (432 PMG) κνυζή τις ήδη καὶ πέπειρα γίνομαι / σὴν διὰ μαργοσύνην. Again, diminishing sex-appeal is due to much sexual intercourse as is the case in Neobule too; compare 27 f. 'her girlhood's flower has lost its bloom as has the charm' to 38 'she makes many her lovers'. Some verbal parallels between the description of Neobule's faded beauty and the similar disappearance of a formerly pretty boy's charms in Theocritus Id. 7. 120–124 make his text appear as a reworking of Archilochus, ¹⁸ whose narrative of a seduction 'amidst the flowers' may have drawn Theocritus to a poem that could be perceived as proto-bucolic. ¹⁹

¹⁶ Lobel 1954, 22.

¹⁷ Petropoulos 2008, 125.

¹⁸ Henrichs 1980, 14 f.

¹⁹ Hunter 1999, 144; 188.

29 f.

Various supplements were proposed in order to make sense out of κόρον ('satiety'), μέτρ' ('measure'), and μαινόλις γυνή ('raving woman') so that they would form an intelligible phrase, which describes Neobule as a nymphomaniac, an insult repeated in $38 \pi o \lambda \lambda$ ούς δὲ ποιεῖτα[ι φίλους. The sentence may have begun, e.g., by stating that Neobule could not be satisfied enough κόρον γὰρ οὖκ [ἔσχεν πόθων (Austin, Degani). The idea of κόρος in turn, denoting not only 'satiety', 'surfeit', 'insolence' but also the dissatisfaction that comes from having too much, 20 is related to ἄτη, the bewilderment caused by blindness or delusion sent by the gods. Accordingly, a supplement like ἄτ|ης δὲ μέτρ' ἔφηνε (Snell) would mean that Neobule in her frenzy displayed the full measure of infatuation. At the same time explicitly denigrating the older and implicitly ennobling the younger sister, the male character wants to cash in on the young girl's self-conceit and desire for admiration, her vanity.

31

The violent outburst ἐς] κόρακας ἄπεχε (sc. αὐτήν) separates the four stages in the description of Neobule – her beauty has withered, she is insatiable and frenzied, she will not make a fitting wife, she is unfaithful and promiscuous, of which the second and fourth are given as explanations (γάρ 29 and 36) of the first and third. The expression, combined with several verbs, is very common in Attic comedy, itself considered already by Aristotle as a kind of heir to the iambic tradition; when tragedy and comedy came to light, he claimed, some poets became writers of comedies instead of iambic poetry, others produced tragedies instead of epic (*Poet.* 4. 13): παραφανείσης δὲ τῆς τραγφδίας καὶ κωμφδίας ... οἱ μὲν ἀντὶ τῶν ἰάμβων κωμφδοποιοὶ ἐγένοντο, οἱ δὲ ἀντὶ τῶν ἐπῶν τραγφδοδιδάσκαλοι. The image, however, is not restricted to comedy and can be found in other poetic genres too; cf. Theogn. 833 πάντα τάδὶ ἐν κοράκεσσι καὶ ἐν φθόρω 'everything has gone to the dogs and to ruin'.

33 f. έγω γυναῖκα τ[ο]ιαύτην ἔχων / γεί]τοσι χάρμ' ἔσομαι

If he were to choose the older sister the narrator fears to become a laughing-stock to his malignant neighbours who would much appreciate him as $\chi \acute{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha$, an object of joy, a cause of rejoicing. Homeric heroes

²⁰ Willcock 1995, 18.

commonly express the fear of being laughed at by the same words (Il. 3.51, 6. 82, 10. 193, 23. 342 f.) which Archilochus, however, uses in a domestic context. Semonides, a contemporary of his, speaks of the same situation at home; again in an iambus, neighbours take delight in seeing how a man is mistaken about the real nature of his wife (7. 110 f. IEG²) οἱ δὲ γείτονες / χαίρουσ' ὁρῶντες καὶ τὸν, ὡς ἁμαρτάνει. An unwitting cuckold is a source of merriment to his neighbours also in Hesiod, who strongly advises to marry the girl from next door or at least to make a few inquiries (Op. 700 f.) [την] δὲ μάλιστα γαμεῖν, ἥτις σέθεν ἐγγύθι ναίει, / πάντα μάλ' ἀμφὶς ἰδών, μη γείτοσι γάρματα γήμης. In order to express the same idea Theognis uses the stronger κατάχαρμα (1107 f. ~ 1318 f.). From the very beginning of Greek poetry, giving unsympathetic persons cause for rejoicing is abominable, as Nestor reminds Achilleus and Agamemnon at Il. 1. 255 f.²¹ The concept is so familiar to Greek thought that it is taken for granted in other cultures, as the Aeschylean Xerxes may indicate: reporting to the chorus in Susa what he had witnessed thirty days before, i.e. the disaster of the Persian fleet at Salamis, he characterizes the event not only as 'painful' but also as 'a delight to our enemies' (Pers. 1034) λυπρά· χάρματα δ' έχθροῖς.

36 διπλόη

Archilochus describes another two-faced, deceitful woman as 'carrying water in one hand, fire in the other' (184 IEG^2) τῆ μὲν ὕδωρ ἐφόρει / δολοφρονέουσα χειρί, θἠτέρη δὲ πῦρ.²²

37 ὀξυτέρη

The comparative 'rather rash', 'quite precipitous' qualifies Neobule's character. Given the context, sexual innuendo is not unlikely. In the epic language, however, only distressing and painful mental states as grief ($\alpha \chi o \zeta$) or anger ($\alpha \chi o \zeta$) were combined with the epithet. Using a comparative not only to indicate the transgression of what is considered as decent but also in order to oppose two items, in this case promiscuous and deceitful Neobule to her chaste and trustworthy younger sister, is fairly common in Greek.²³

²¹ Cairns 1993, 99.

²² On this Archilochean portrait of a false maiden cf. Ferrari 2002, 67.

²³ KG II, 305–307.

39 - 41

Literally 'pressed on in haste, I fear that I be the parent of blind and premature offspring in the same way a shameless woman is'. The common (40) ἐπειγόμενος echoes (2) ἐπείγεαι from the girl's speech, and κύων is frequently used from Homer onwards of women to denote their shamelessness or audacity (LSJ s.v. κύων II), but ἀλιτήμερος 'untimely' is unique. Its form being influenced by the equally rare Homeric ἀλιτήμων (II. 24. 157 = 186 only), the word may be coined on the Homeric hapax legomenon ήλιτόμηνος said of the untimely born Eurystheus, 'brought forth to the light even before the full tale of the months' (Il. 19. 118) ex δ' ἄγαγε πρὸ φόωσδε καὶ ἠλιτόμηνον ἐόντα. Before a papyrus attested it, the word was known from a lexicographer's note in the Etymologicum Magnum (428. 10). The whole expression might well have been a proverbial saying to which later Aristophanes alludes in an obscure line ending ἐπειγομένη τυφλὰ τίκτει (Pax 1078). In general, however, the idea 'do nothing hastily' is widely known; cf., e.g., Theogn. 1051 f. μήποτ' ἐπειγόμενος πράξης κακόν, ἀλλὰ βαθείη / σῆ φρενὶ βούλευσαι σῶ τ' ἀγαθῶ τε νόω. Always seeking to impress the young girl, the speaker combines a well-known Homeric term and a brand-new word of his in order to spice up a banal and common idea.

42 - 53

In the remaining part the narrator tells how he laid down the girl in the blooming flowers (42–44 παρθένον ... ἔκλινα) and gently took hold of her breasts (48 μαζ]ῶν ... ἠπίως ἐφηψάμην); finally he let go his 'force' (52 ἀφῆκα μένος) while touching her blond hair (53 ξανθῆς ἐπιψαύ[ων τριχός).

46 f.

In these badly transmitted lines only the comparison of the young girl to a young animal, a fawn, cannot be doubted. It is tempting to compare a fragment of Anacreon, where presumably a young woman is again compared to a fawn. The similarity is strengthened by the fact that in Anacreon an adverb meaning 'gently' is used in the same sense and in the same context as in Archilochus (48 ἠπίως). Anacreon's fawn is frightened, and one might well think of a text running like 'I draw near you gently, as though you were a new-born sucking fawn, who is frightened' etc. $(408 \ PMG)$ ἀγανῶς οἶά τε νεβρὸν νεοθηλέα γαλαθηνὸν ὅς ... ἐπτοήθη. Comparing young women to young animals appears also in another erotic text of Anacreon, where he speaks of a Thracian filly which has no skilled

horseman to ride it (417 *PMG*). In Archilochus, a need to calm the young girl might already be indicated by the preceding 44 f. $\mu\alpha\lambda\theta\alpha\kappa\eta$ ι δ[έ $\mu\nu$ / $\chi\lambda\alpha$ ί]νηι καλύψας 'with a soft cloak I covered her'. But how the lines are to be constructed, remains unclear. It may well be that we are not meant to know how things went on exactly, even if the text were better preserved.²⁴

49 f.

What exactly is meant, remains disputed. Clearly, νέον and χρόα, 'fresh skin', belong together and ἥβης ἐπήλυσιν, 'the approach of her prime', is an inserted apposition. The whole expression refers to the nude body of the young woman. But the rare word is explained by Hesychius not only as 'approach' (ἔφοδος) but also as 'spell' (ἐπαγωγή), which makes equally good sense, meaning 'the bewitchment of her prime'. In a text full of double sense, another word with two meanings would not be surprising.

52

A strong image concludes the poem, clearly showing the narrator ejaculating his semen while touching the blond hair of the girl. His way of describing himself is unique, but the expression he chose had already been used by Homer, though not in a sexual context. In a formulaic line it is Ares who brings an end to the 'fury' of a spear when it reaches its target; fixed in the heart of a warrior, it is there where 'mighty Ares did stay its fury' (II. 13. 444 = 16. 613 = 17. 529) $\xi v\theta \alpha \delta' \xi \pi \epsilon i \tau' \alpha \omega \epsilon i u \epsilon voc \delta \delta oincolor$ "Appg. Apparently, the weapon is conceived as being alive. Referring to living persons, other Homeric lines speak of that inherent vital force called μένος (Il. 17. 503 and 19. 202, Hector and Achilles respectively), a word Sophocles lets Teucer use in order to describe the still vital forces in his half-brother's corpse (S. Aj. 1411–1413) ἔτι γὰρ θερμαὶ / σύριγγες ἄνω φυσῶσι μέλαν / μένος 'still the hot channels are spouting upwards the black blood' of Ajax. Another figure of 7th century poetry, the elegiac poet Mimnermus, made μένος the theme of a few elegiac lines (14. 1 and 6 IEG2). Archilochus' concrete, vulgar, and ostentatiously masculine reinterpretation of μένος is a well-chosen climax and closure of his narrator's breath-taking narrative.

Gerson Schade Freie Universität Berlin schade@zedat.fu-berlin.de

²⁴ Rankin 1977, 71.

Bibliography

- K. Bartol, Liryka grecka I: Jamb i elegia (Warszawa Poznań 1999).
- F. Bossi, *Studi su Archiloco* (Bari ²1990).
- E. Bowie, "Sex and Politics in Archilochus' Poetry", in: *Archilochus and his Age* (Athens 2008) 133–143.
- A. P. Burnett, Three Archaic Poets: Archilochus, Alcaeus, Sappho (London 1983).
- D. L. Cairns, Aidôs: The Psychology and Ethics of Honour and Shame in Ancient Greek Literature (Oxford 1993).
- E. Degani, G. Burzacchini, *Lirici Greci* (Florence 1977; Bologna ²2005).
- G. Ferrari, Figures of Speech: Men and Maidens in Ancient Greece (Chicago London 2002).
- D. E. Gerber, *Greek Iambic Poetry: From the seventh to the fifth century BC* (Cambridge, Mass. London 1999).
- E. Handley, "Night Thoughts (Archilochus 23 and 196a West)", in: *Hesperos*, *Studies in Ancient Greek Poetry presented to M. L. West* (Oxford 2007) 95–100.
- A. Henrichs, "Riper than a Pear: Parian Invective in Theokritos", *ZPE* 39 (1980) 7–27.
- R. Hunter, *Theocritus: A selection* (Cambridge 1999).
- R. Janko, The Iliad: a Commentary, vol. IV: books 13–16 (Oxford 1992).
- M. R. Lefkowitz, First-person Fictions: Pindar's Poetic 'I' (Oxford 1991).
- M. R. Lefkowitz, *The Lives of the Greek Poets* (London ²2012).
- E. Lobel, *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri: part XXII* (Oxford 1954).
- D. Mankin, Horace, Epodes (Cambridge 1995).
- C. Miralles, J. Pòrtulas, Archilochus and the Iambic Poetry (Rome 1983).
- D. L. Page, "Discussion", in: Archiloque, Entretiens Hardt 10 (Génève 1964) 213–222.
- I. Petropoulos, "Some New Thoughts on the Old 'New Archilochus' Fr. 196A West²", in: *Archilochus and his Age* (Athens 2008) 123–131.
- H. D. Rankin, Archilochus of Paros (Park Ridge 1977).
- A. Rotstein, The Idea of Iambos (Oxford 2009).
- B. Seidensticker, "Archilochus and Odysseus", GRBS 19 (1978) 5-22.
- S. R. Slings, "Archilochus: 'First Cologne Epode'", in: *Some Recently Found Greek Poems* (Leiden 1987) 24–61.
- S. R. Slings, The Poet's 'I' in Archaic Greek Lyric (Amsterdam 1990).
- E. Stehle, *Performance and Gender in Ancient Greece: Nondramatic Poetry in its Setting* (Princeton 1997).
- J. Strauss-Clay, "Archilochus, the Lover", in: *Archilochus and his Age* (Athens 2008) 115–121.
- L. C. Watson, A Commentary on Horace's Epodes (Oxford 2003).
- M. L. West, Greek Metre (Oxford 1982).
- M. M. Willcock, *Pindar: Victory Odes* (Cambridge 1995).
- G. Zanetto, "Iambic Patterns in Aristophanic Comedy", in: *Iambic Ideas: Essays on a Poetic Tradition from Archaic Greece to the Late Roman Empire* (Lanham 2001).

Abbreviations

DK H. Diels, W. Kranz, Die Fragmente der Vorsokratiker (Berlin 81956)

IEG² M. L. West, Iambi et elegi Graeci ante Alexandrum cantati (Oxford ²1989–1992)

KG R. Kühner, B. Gerth, Ausführliche Grammatik der griechischen Sprache. Satzlehre (Hannover ³1904)

PMG D. L. Page, Poetae melici Graeci (Oxford 1962)

SLG D. L. Page, Supplementum lyricis Graecis (Oxford 1974)

Voigt E.-M. Voigt, Sappho and Alcaeus (Amsterdam 1971)

In the history of European literature, Archilochus is the first poet whose individuality can be discerned. His prolific output provided many an opportunity to create a literary persona, somehow not completely independent from the author's personality. Since his earliest readers this literary project of his did not pass unnoticed, and Archilochus' works were read as autobiographical statements or reduced to such. The reception of the so-called Cologne Epode, where the temptation to see something biographical was for many irresistible, is no exception to the rule.

Instead the proposed new commentary offers another approach: the text is judged on its own, put in perspective, and annotated in a way that informs experienced students from different backgrounds about its specific, Archilochean aspects. Archaeological evidence and literary imitation are referred to, technical terms relating to classical studies not avoided, yet not used excessively. Thus focusing on selected, important issues as, for instance, textual interplay, the commentary helps to assess Archilochus' considerable literary merits.

Архилох – первый поэт в истории европейской литературы с отчетливо выраженной индивидуальностью. Уже первые читатели его стихов воспринимали литературное "я" Архилоха как тесно связанное с личностью автора, усматривая в его произведениях автобиографические высказывания. Трактовка т. н. кёльнского эпода не стала в этом отношении исключением.

В основе предлагаемого здесь комментария лежит иной подход: текст исследуется вне его предполагаемых биографических аллюзий, в литературной перспективе, которая позволяет выяснить его специфически архилоховские черты. Сосредоточившись на нескольких важных аспектах, таких как интертекстуальность, комментарий помогает оценить выдающиеся литературные достоинства Архилоха.